



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,680	07/20/2001	James B. Schremp	5219P005	2531
7590				
08/10/2009				
Marina Portnova				
1279 Oakmead Parkway				
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040				
		EXAMINER		
		PATEL, DHAIRYA A		
		ART UNIT		
		PAPER NUMBER		
		2451		
		MAIL DATE		
		DELIVERY MODE		
		08/10/2009		
		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09910680	7/20/2001	SCHREMP ET AL.	5219P005

Marina Portnova
1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER

Dhairya A. Patel

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2451 20090807

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

An IDS filed on 5/7/2009 has been considered by the Examiner.

Examiner would like to correct the Evidence Relied upon to be reviewed on Appeal for all Claims.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

- A). Lert Jr. et al. U.S. Patent # 4,230,990
- B). Aberson et al. U.S. Patent # 5,732,193
- C). Chowdhury et al. U.S. Patent # 6,026,439
- D). Lai et al. U.S. Patent # 6,006,183
- E). Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124

Examiner would like Clarify the record for all Grounds of Rejection to be reviewed on Appeal for all Claims.

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-4,6-8,11-13,16-19,22-23,26,31-34,37-39,42-44,47-50,52-55,58,59, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lert Jr. et al. U.S. Patent # 4,230,990 (hereinafter Lert1).

Claims 20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Aberson et al. U.S. Patent # 5,732,193 (hereinafter Aberson).

Claims 24,25,27,28,51,56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Chowdhury et al. U.S. Patent # 6,026,439

(hereinafter Chowdhury).

Claims 9,10,14,15,40,41,45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert et al. in view of Lai et al. U.S. Patent # 6,006,183 (hereinafter Lai).

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 and Chowdhury et al. as applied to claims 27, and further in view of Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124 (hereinafter Brouwer).

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124 (hereinafter Brouwer).

/John Follansbee/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)